# **Main Request for Funding Form**

# **Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Fiscal Year 2014 / ML 2013 Proposal**

Program or Project Title: MN Prairie Recovery Project Phase 4

Funds Requested: \$9,122,800

Manager's Name: Neal Feeken
Title: Prairie Recovery Coordinator
Organization: The Nature Conservancy
Street Address: 1101 W River Parkway

City: Minneapolis, MN 55415 Telephone: 612-331-0738 E-Mail: nfeeken@tnc.org

Organization Web Site: nature.org

**County Locations:** Big Stone, Chippewa, Clay, Cottonwood, Jackson, Kandiyohi, Kittson, Lac qui Parle, Lincoln, Lyon, Marshall, Murray, Nobles, Norman, Pennington, Pipestone, Polk, Pope, Red Lake, Rock, Roseau, Stearns, Swift, Wilkin, and Yellow Medicine.

#### **Ecological Planning Regions:**

- Forest / Prairie Transition
- Prairie

#### **Activity Type:**

- Restore
- Enhance
- Protect in Fee

#### **Priority Resources Addressed by Activity:**

- Wetlands
- Prairie

#### **Abstract**

This proposal contributes to the implementation of the MN Prairie Conservation Plan by protecting 1500 acres of native prairie/wetland/savanna; restoring 400 acres prairie/wetland; enhancing 12,000 acres grassland/savanna; and continuing a prairie conservation model that demonstrates new economic models for sustainable conservation.

# **Activity Detail**

## **Design and Scope of Work**

**Problems to be addressed:** The conservation problems facing Minnesota's prairies, prairie potholes, grasslands and savannas include: continued losses of native and restored grasslands through conversion to other uses; degradation of existing grasslands and wetlands due to encroachment by woody vegetation and other invasive species; and insufficient capacity of responsible agencies to optimally manage grasslands under their care. Social and economic pressures exacerbate these problems including: Perceived loss of local taxes and local incomes when land is acquired by public entities; state budget implications for long-term management of public lands and payment-in-lieu-of-taxes; and limited capacity for public agencies to coordinate work among multiple stakeholders to maximize efficiencies and results across landscapes.

Scope of work: "Phase 4" will build on the success of the MN Prairie Recovery Project Phase 1 & 2 by continuing to target and acquire native prairie habitats and accelerating conservation on permanently protected grasslands. The project is a direct implementation strategy of the MN Prairie Conservation Plan and activities and geographies reflect the collective prioritization developed within the Plan. An estimated 1500 acres of existing and restorable grassland, prairie pothole complex, and/or savanna will be permanently protected within 5 prairie core landscapes as identified in the MN Prairie Conservation Plan 2010. Lands will be held by The Nature Conservancy, subject to a recorded notice of funding restrictions pursuant to the grant with the MN DNR. Lands will be open to public hunting and fishing as provided in the Constitution, and basic developments will be implemented (boundary signage, habitat improvement, wetland restoration). Protection efforts will be coordinated with other partner protection programs (e.g., DNR Wildlife Management Area and Prairie Bank programs). A separate and discrete internal fund has been established by The Nature Conservancy to cover ongoing land-management costs. Income generated by agricultural leases (grazing, haying, native seed harvest, and/or cropping), public contributions and donations will be held in this account and used to pay for property taxes and ongoing management costs. An estimated 400 acres of cropland will be restored to diverse, local-ecotype grassland or grassland/wetland complex (part of the above protected acres) as a supplement to existing efforts. Preference will be given to local producers and contractors for provision of seed and establishment of prairies to promote creation of local conservation-oriented businesses.

An estimated 12,000 acres of grassland complex will be enhanced on permanently protected lands, including land purchased with OHF funds and held by the Conservancy to increase native species diversity and improve critical wildlife habitat. Management techniques will include prescribed fire, conservation grazing and/or haying, removal of woody vegetation, control of exotic species, and related restoration activities. Much of this work will be accomplished by contract. Maximum use will be made of Conservation Corps of Minnesota (CCM), private local businesses and other organizations as needed and appropriate. On-the-ground staff provided by this grant will form and lead local coordination and implementation teams; identify protection, restoration and enhancement needs and opportunities within the focus area; work with DNR and FWS staff to delineate conservation projects on public and other permanently protected lands; coordinate contracts and staff resources to protected conservation lands; work with private landowners to coordinate agricultural activities/leases on appropriate protected conservation lands (e.g., haying, grazing, seed harvest, cropping); educate lessees on appropriate conservation grazing/haying practices; supervise management of lands acquired above; plan and conduct prescribed burns; secure other funding for conservation practices; and other activities related to prairie conservation in the focus areas.

A total of four biologists ("prairie managers") will coordinate activities in the focus areas. Biologists will be employed by the Conservancy and will be located in a DNR or FWS office. This will foster better coordination and collaboration among partners, while accelerating enhancement work on public lands. Contracts will be

let to provide a high level of enhancement activities to new and existing protected conservation lands. These activities will improve the habitat value of public lands that are not currently receiving adequate management treatment, while simultaneously providing jobs for CCM and local businesses. To ensure goals and outcomes are consistently achieved across all project areas a project coordinator will oversee implementation of the above activities and provide administrative support for budget monitoring and reporting. Marketing and media outreach will be provided by the Conservancy to highlight the goals and accomplishments of the project to local and statewide constituents, as well as elected officials. Temporary seasonal crews will be retained by the Conservancy or other partners to provide additional capacity for land management during critical periods like spring burn season. These crews will create flexibility for enhancement projects and will maximize the ability of specialized skilled personnel like burn bosses to increase the number of acres annually treated.

Specific tracts for acquisition and protection are not yet identified. We will work with the Council and staff to formalize these prior to purchase negotiations. Parcels for restoration and enhancement will be identified in partnership with DNR, FWS, and other partners.

Results to date: Phase 1 & 2 of the Project have received a combined \$8 million in Outdoor Heritage Fund support. With this funding we have protected 1,738 acres of prairies, wetlands and grasslands and enhanced approximately 10,000 acres of publicly owned grasslands. In short, we have accomplished half of the stated goals for the combined Phases in roughly one-third of the time allotted. We continue to make steady progress and expect to exceed our goals within the timelines of the grants. Enhancement work has included a focus on increased use of prescribed fire, extensive woody vegetation removal, building infrastructure for conservation grazing on public lands, and identification and treatment of invasive plants. Much of the work has been achieved by working with private local contractors, Conservation Corps of MN, and through employment of temporary seasonal work crews. Phase 3 has been funded at \$4.6 million and work is expected to begin in fall 2012. With our proven track record we are confident that we can meet or exceed the goals stated here.

Initial results suggest that revenue generating activities, particularly grazing, can play a role in supporting long-term costs. We plan to continue exploring and building on this model as additional parcels are acquired and restored.

## **Planning**

#### **MN State-wide Conservation Plan Priorities**

- H1 Protect priority land habitats
- H3 Improve connectivity and access to recreation
- H5 Restore land, wetlands and wetland-associated watersheds
- H7 Keep water on the landscape

#### **Plans Addressed**

- Grassland Conservation Plan for Prairie Grouse
- Long Range Duck Recovery Plan
- Long Range Plan for the Ring-Necked Pheasant in MN
- Minnesota DNR Nongame Wildlife Plans
- Minnesota Prairie Conservation Plan
- Outdoor Heritage Fund: A 25 Year Framework

#### **LSOHC Statewide Priorities**

- Are ongoing, successful, transparent and accountable programs addressing actions and targets of one or more of the ecological sections
- Produce multiple enduring conservation benefits
- Are able to leverage effort and/or other funds to supplement any OHF appropriation
- Allow public access. This comes into play when all other things about the request are approximately equal
- Address conservation opportunities that will be lost if not immediately acted on
- Restore or enhance habitat on state-owned WMAs, AMAs, SNAs, and state forests
- Use a science-based strategic planning and evaluation model to guide protection, restoration and enhancement, similar to the United States Fish and Wildlife Service's Strategic Habitat Conservation model
- Address wildlife species of greatest conservation need, Minnesota County Biological Survey data, and rare, threatened and endangered species inventories in land and water decisions, as well as permanent solutions to aquatic invasive species
- Provide Minnesotans with greater public access to outdoor environments with hunting, fishing and other outdoor recreation opportunities
- Ensures activities for "protecting, restoring and enhancing" are coordinated among agencies, non
  profits and others while doing this important work
- Target unique Minnesota landscapes that have historical value to fish and wildlife

#### **LSOHC Prairie Section Priorities**

- Protect, enhance, or restore existing wetland/upland complexes, or convert agricultural lands to new wetland/upland habitat complexes
- Protect, enhance, and restore remnant native prairie, Big Woods forests, and oak savanna
- Convert agricultural land to wetland/upland to protect, enhance, or restore existing habitat complexes, such as WMAs
- Restore or enhance habitat on public lands
- Protect, enhance, and restore migratory habitat for waterfowl and related species, so as to increase migratory and breeding success

#### **LSOHC Forest Prairie Transition Section Priorities**

- Protect, enhance, and restore wild rice wetlands, shallow lakes, wetland/grassland complexes, aspen parklands, and shoreland that provide critical habitat for game and nongame wildlife
- Protect, enhance, and restore rare native remnant prairie
- Protect, enhance, and restore migratory habitat for waterfowl and related species, so as to increase migratory and breeding success

## **Relationship to Other Constitutional Funds**

Environmental and Natural Resource Trust Fund

Project activities are being coordinated with a number of existing and proposed OHF projects that collectively strive to implement recommendations found in the MN Prairie Conservation Plan 2010. The Prairie Recovery Project Phase 4 builds on a current LCCMR project "Reconnecting Prairie Landscapes" that is working to identify financial drivers for increasing grassland conservation on public and private lands in core prairie

geographies.

## **Accelerates or Supplements Current Efforts**

The Nature Conservancy has been active in prairie protection and management in Minnesota for over 50 years. Funds available through this program provide critical new resources for protecting the less than 1% of original prairie remaining in the state. Given current high commodity crop prices, development of new technologies for drought resistant crops and intensified subsurface drainage it is imperative that willing sellers of native prairie be given the chance to protect these increasingly rare systems. The Prairie Recovery Project represents one on the best tools the Conservancy currently has to offer such protection. Further, many of the lands in public ownership are in dire need of increased management to ensure healthy grassland systems. Investment in removing woody species, controlling invasives and implementing prescribed fire regimes is akin to infrastructure development in that upfront costs are high but ongoing maintenance becomes more sustainable once those investments have been made. This project, and others that support the MN Prairie Conservation Plan are critical to ensuring the health and viability of Minnesota's prairie landscapes.

## **Sustainability and Maintenance**

Protection, restoration and enhancement implementation are each critical tools for the viability of Minnesota's prairie/wetland ecosystems. Prairie landscapes are sustained through the regular application of appropriate disturbance, including fire, grazing and haying. A chronic problem for land managers is securing adequate funding to do these conservation practices as frequently as needed (e.g., every 1-4 years). A primary purpose of this proposal is to establish a collaborative and coordinated partnership that can accelerate the application of these management techniques across multiple landscapes. For new lands acquired under this proposal, we will continue to test a new long-term funding model by attempting to secure management funds by generating conservation compatible income from acquired lands. In addition to the conservation value of planned haying and grazing, the income generated by these agricultural leases can help pay for management activities and property taxes. This model has been used on other Conservancy lands, and this project will evaluate whether it is feasible on these types of public/private protected conservation lands.

Will local government approval be sought prior to acquisition? - No

The Nature Conservancy intends to retain and manage any acquired properties in nongovernmental ownership. Further, we are committed to paying property taxes on all properties acquired. We work closely with local officials to ensure knowledge of our activities but typically do not ask for local approval.

Is the land you plan to acquire free of any other permanent protection? - Yes

Is this land open for hunting and fishing? - Yes

All acquired lands are open to public access for activities including hunting and fishing. Access to properties purchased with Outdoor Heritage Funds are managed in a fashion and with rules approximating Wildlife Management Areas.

Is the activity on permanently protected land and/or public waters per MS 103G.005, Subd. 15? - Yes (WMA, WPA, SNA, Private Land, Refuge Lands)

# **Accomplishment Timeline**

Activity	Approximate Date Completed
Protection - 1st 300 acres acquired	March 2014
Protection - 2nd 300 acres acquired	June 2014
Protection - 3rd 300 acres acquired	September 2014
Protection - 4th 300 acres acquired	March 2015
Protection - 5th 300 acres acquired	June 2015
Restoration initiated on first 150 acres	September 2015
Restoration initiated on next 250 acres	March 2016
Restorations completed	
500 acres woody vegetation removal	December 2013
1000 acres fenced for conservation grazing	December 2013
500 acres invasives treated	August 2014
3500 acres prescribed fire	September 2014
1000 acres fenced for conservation grazing	December 2014
1000 acres woody vegetation removed	December 2014
500 acres invasives treated	August 2015
4000 acres prescribed fire	October 2015

#### **Outcomes**

#### Programs in forest-prairie transition region

- Improved aquatic habitat indicators
- Increased availability and improved condition of riparian forests and other habitat corridors
- Protected, restored, and enhanced aspen parklands and riparian areas
- Protected, restored, and enhanced nesting and migratory habitat for waterfowl, upland birds, and species of greatest conservation need
- Wetland and upland complexes will consist of native prairies, restored prairies, quality grasslands, and restored shallow lakes and wetlands
- Water is kept on the land

#### Programs in prairie region

- Core areas protected with highly biologically diverse wetlands and plant communities, including native prairie, Big Woods, and oak savanna
- Expiring CRP lands are permanently protected
- Improved condition of habitat on public lands
- Increased participation of private landowners in habitat projects

- Protected, restored, and enhanced habitat for waterfowl, upland birds, and species of greatest conservation need
- Remnant native prairies and wetlands are permanently protected and are part of large complexes of restored prairie, grasslands, and large and small wetlands
- Water is kept on the land

# **Budget Spreadsheet**

Total Amount of Request: \$9,122,800

# Budget and Cash Leverage

Budget Name	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Cash Leverage	Cash Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	\$1,128,000	\$112,800	-	\$1,240,800
Contracts	\$2,324,000	\$232,400	TNC	\$2,556,400
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	\$0	\$0	-	\$0
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	\$4,500,000	\$900,000	TNC	\$5,400,000
Easement Acquisition	\$0	\$0	-	\$0
Easement Stewardship	\$0	\$0	-	\$0
Travel (in-state)	\$44,000	\$4,400	TNC	\$48,400
Professional Services	\$321,000	\$32,100	TNC	\$353,100
Direct Support Services	\$342,400	\$0	-	\$342,400
DNR Land Acquisition Costs	\$0	\$0	-	\$0
Capital Equipment	\$44,400	\$4,500	-	\$48,900
Other Equipment/Tools	\$0	\$0	-	\$0
Supplies/Materials	\$419,000	\$41,900	TNC-	\$460,900
DNR IDP	\$0	\$0	-	\$0
Total	\$9,122,800	\$1,328,100	-	\$10,450,900

# Personnel

Position	FTE	Over # of years	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Cash Leverage	Cash Leverage Source	Total
Field Biologists	4.00	3.00	\$367,400	\$36,700	TNC	\$404,100
Protection Specialist	1.50	2.00	\$232,500	\$23,300	TNC	\$255,800
Seasonal Habitat Crews	3.00	2.00	\$209,900	\$21,000	TNC	\$230,900
TNC Land Stewards	0.75	2.00	\$86,900	\$8,700	TNC	\$95,600
Grant Specialist	0.20	3.00	\$32,300	\$3,200	TNC	\$35,500
TNC Science staff	0.50	2.00	\$61,200	\$6,100	TNC	\$67,300
Project Coordinator	1.00	2.00	\$137,800	\$13,800	TNC	\$151,600
Total	10.95	16.00	\$1,128,000	\$112,800	-	\$1,240,800

# Capital Equipment

Item Name	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Cash Leverage	Cash Leverage Source	Total
Prescribed fire rig #1 - UTV, slip on unit, trailer	\$21,800	\$2,200	TNC	\$24,000
Prescribed fire rig #2 - UTV, slip on unit, trailer	\$22,600	\$2,300	TNC	\$24,900
Total	\$44,400	\$4,500	-	\$48,900

# **Output Tables**

Table 1. Acres by Resource Type

Туре	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats	Total
Restore	150	250	0	0	400
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	500	1,000	0	0	1,500
Protect in Easement	0	0	0	0	0
Enhance	1,000	11,000	0	0	12,000
Total	1,650	12,250	0	0	13,900

Table 2. Total Requested Funding by Resource Type

Туре	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats	Total
Restore	\$93,800	\$156,300	\$0	\$0	\$250,100
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	\$1,500,000	\$3,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$4,500,000
Protect in Easement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Enhance	\$355,700	\$4,017,000	\$0	\$0	\$4,372,700
Total	\$1,949,500	\$7,173,300	\$0	\$0	\$9,122,800

Table 3. Acres within each Ecological Section

Туре	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	Northern Forest	Total
Restore	0	250	0	150	0	400
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0	750	0	750	0	1,500
Protect in Easement	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enhance	0	6,000	0	6,000	0	12,000
Total	0	7,000	0	6,900	0	13,900

Table 4. Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section

Туре	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	Northern Forest	Total
Restore	\$0	\$156,300	\$0	\$93,800	\$0	\$250,100
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	\$0	\$2,250,000	\$0	\$2,250,000	\$0	\$4,500,000
Protect in Easement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Enhance	\$0	\$2,133,200	\$0	\$2,239,500	\$0	\$4,372,700
Total	\$0	\$4,539,500	\$0	\$4,583,300	\$0	\$9,122,800

# Table 5. Target Lake/Stream/River Miles

0 miles

# **Parcel List**

# **Section 1 - Restore / Enhance Parcel List**

Chippewa
----------

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	<b>Existing Protection?</b>
Parcel 56	NNNN	0	\$0	Yes
Stearns				
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	<b>Existing Protection?</b>
Parcel 59	NNNN	0	\$0	Yes
Wilkin				
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	<b>Existing Protection?</b>
Parcel 50	NNNN	0	\$0	Yes
Marshall				
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	<b>Existing Protection?</b>
Parcel 61	NaN	0	\$0	Yes
Parcel 62	NaN	0	\$0	Yes
Pennington				
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	<b>Existing Protection?</b>
Parcel 64	NaN	0	\$0	Yes
Parcel 65	NaN	0	\$0	Yes
Kittson				
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	<b>Existing Protection?</b>
Parcel 51	NNNN	0	\$0	Yes
Lac qui Parle				
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	<b>Existing Protection?</b>
Parcel 54	NNNN	0	\$0	Yes
Big Stone				
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	<b>Existing Protection?</b>
Parcel 53	NNNN	0	\$0	Yes
Red Lake				
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	<b>Existing Protection?</b>
Parcel 47	NNNN	0	\$0	Yes
Swift				
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	<b>Existing Protection?</b>
Parcel 55	NNNN	0	\$0	Yes
Norman				
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	<b>Existing Protection?</b>
Parcel 48	NNNN	0	\$0	Yes

l _
1211
เดง

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	<b>Existing Protection?</b>
Parcel 49	NNNN	0	\$0	Yes
Pope				
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	<b>Existing Protection?</b>
Parcel 57	NNNN	0	\$0	Yes
Roseau				
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	<b>Existing Protection?</b>
Parcel 52	NNNN	0	\$0	Yes
Kandiyohi				
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	<b>Existing Protection?</b>
Parcel 58	NNNN	0	\$0	Yes
Polk				
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	<b>Existing Protection?</b>
Parcel 46	NNNN	0	\$0	Yes

#### Chippewa

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Parcel 10	NNNN	0	\$0	No	Full	Full
Stearns						
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Parcel 13	NNNN	0	\$0	No	Full	Full
Nobles						
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Parcel 16	NNNN	0	\$0	No	Full	Full
Wilkin						
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Parcel 5	NNNN	0	\$0	No	Full	Full
Lac qui Parle						
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Parcel 8	NNNN	0	\$0	No	Full	Full
Big Stone		'	<u>'</u>			
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing	Hunting?	Fishing?

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing	Hunting?	Fishing?
Polk	INININ	U	ŞU	INU	ı un	ı uli
Name Parcel 12	TRDS NNNN	Acres 0	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Kandiyohi						
Parcel 22	NNNN	0	\$0	No	Full	Full
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Jackson	· '					
Parcel 17	NNNN	0	\$0	No	Full	Full
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Rock	1				L	
Parcel 6	NNNN	0	\$0	No	Full	Full
Roseau <b>Name</b>	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Parcel 11	NNNN	0	\$0	No	Full	Full
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Pope						
Parcel 4	NNNN	0	\$0	No	Full	Full
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Clay						
Parcel 3	NNNN	0	\$0	No	Full	Full
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Norman	I		•	L		
Parcel 9	NNNN	0	\$0	No	Full	Full
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Swift		U U	ÇÜ	110	i uii	I dii
Parcel 2	INDS	0		Protection?	Full	Full
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing	Hunting?	Fishing?
Red Lake	IVIVIV	<u> </u>	Ç	110	I un	i un
Parcel 7	NNNN	0	\$0	No	Full	Full

				Protection?		
Parcel 1		0	\$0	No	Full	Full
Cottonwood						
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Parcel 20	NNNN	0	\$0	No	Full	Full
Lincoln						
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Parcel 14	NNNN	0	\$0	No	Full	Full
Lyon						
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Parcel 15	NNNN	0	\$0	No	Full	Full
Pipestone						
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Parcel 19	NNNN	0	\$0	No	Full	Full
Yellow Medic	ine					
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Parcel 21	NNNN	0	\$0	No	Full	Full
Murray						
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Parcel 18	NNNN	0	\$0	No	Full	Full
Kittson						
Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing
Parcel 5	NNNN	0	\$0	No	Full	Full

# Marshall

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	# Bldgs?	Bldg Imrpove Desc	Value of Bldg	Disposition of Improvements
Parcel 60	N	0	\$0	0		\$0	

Pennington

Nama	TRDS	Acros	Est Cost	# 014~*3	Bldg	Value of	Disposition of
Name	IKDS	Acres	ESI COSI	# Bldgs?	Imrpove	Bldg	Improvements

					Desc		
Parcel 63	NaN	0	\$0	0		\$0	

# **Section 3 - Other Parcel Activity**

No parcels with an other activity type.